Bridging gap between geohydrologic data and Integrated Hydrologic Model: PIHMgis
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Abstract: This paper outlines and demonstrates a strategy for coupling of integrated hydrologic model and Geographic Information System (GIS) to meet pre/post processing of data and visualization. Physically based fully distributed integrated hydrologic models seek to simulate hydrologic state variables and their interactions in space and time. The process requires incorporation of several physical heterogeneous input data layers such as topography, hydrogeology, climate, land use. This leads to intensive effort in topology definitions, data gathering and development. Traditionally GIS has been used for data management, analysis and visualization. Joint use and streamline development of sophisticated numerical models and commercial Geographic Information Systems (GISs) poses challenges that inherit from proprietary data structures, rigidity in their data models, non-dynamic data interaction with pluggable software components and platform dependence. Independent hydrologic modeling systems (HMSs), GISs and Decision Support Systems (DSSs) not only increase model setup and analysis time but they also result in data isolation, data integrity problems and broken data flows between models and the tools used to analyze their inputs and results. Alternatively this paper presents an open-source, extensible and pluggable architecture, platform independent “tightly-coupled” GIS interface to Penn State Integrated Hydrologic Model (PIHM) called PIHMgis. The tight-coupling between the GIS and the model is achieved by the development of PIHMgis shared-data model to promote minimum data redundancy and optimal retrievability. The procedural framework of PIHMgis is demonstrated through its application to Shaver’s Creek Watershed located in Susquehanna River Basin in Pennsylvania.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Physically based distributed hydrologic models try to simulate the spatiotemporal dynamics of hydrologic systems’ processes using spatially distributed watershed’s physical properties and forcing fields [Feeze et al., 1969]. These models better represent natural heterogeneities [Entekhabi et al., 1989; Pitman et al., 1990] with the goal of enhancing our understanding and prediction of the spatiotemporal dynamics of hydrologic processes. Clearly, a key challenge in the development and use of distributed, physically based modeling frameworks is the large number of physical parameters that must be incorporated into the model. Geographic Information Systems (GISs) with their ability to handle both spatial and non-spatial data, and to perform data management and analysis operations have a strong potential to advance development and use of more complex modeling frameworks if used appropriately. One of the major deficiencies of GIS that has been recognized widely is the lack of sophisticated analytical and modeling capabilities [Maidment, 1993; Wilson, 1996; Camara, 1999]. Likewise many, existing hydrologic models are not developed with data structures that facilitate close linkage to GISs and decision support systems (DSSs) [National Research Council, 139-63, 1999].
Prior efforts have implemented a range of different levels of coupling between a GIS and hydrologic models helping to elucidate the relative advantages and disadvantages of alternative coupling approaches in terms of representation of the watershed, watershed decomposition, sensitivity/uncertainty analysis, and parameter estimation as highlighted by Watkins et al. [1996]. Current GISs have limitations that impede coupling with hydrologic models [Abel et al., 1994; Kopp 1996]. Also since many of the advanced GISs are platform dependent, running mostly on Windows platform personal computers (PCs), they limit users from taking advantage of high performance computing architectures. Many commercial GIS framework suffer from closed data structures for GIS features, making it difficult to develop customized data manipulation/visualization tools that evolve with a modeler’s/user’s needs. Moreover, hydrologic models generally need other software support for pre and post processing tasks such as sensitivity analysis or decision support. The diverse needs of hydrologic research motivate the importance of developing coupled GIS and modeling systems able to incorporate disparate heterogeneous tools [Deckmyn et al., 1997].

In this study, we propose and demonstrate an integration methodology for an open source GIS framework and a integrated hydrologic model that enables users to take advantage of object oriented programming (OOP) to provide more direct access to the GIS data structure to better support efficient query and data transfer between the hydrologic model and GIS [Kumar et al. 2008]. The data structure has been designed to be flexible for modification and customization of the model or GIS, rich enough to represent complex user defined spatial relations and extensible to add more software tools as the need be. The “tightly-coupled” integrated GIS interface to Penn State Integrated Hydrologic Model (PIHM) was created in the Open Source Quantum GIS [www.qgis.org]. The software framework used to create the tightly coupled PIHMgis system is generic and can be used in other model applications. Beyond describing the software framework for PIHMgis, this paper also demonstrates the importance and use of the framework for representing, modeling, visualizing and analysis data to Shaver’s Creek Watershed in Susquehanna River Basin in Pennsylvania as case study.

2. INTEGRATION METHODOLOGY

2.1 Introduction

Nyerges (1993) and Goodchild (1992) have discussed software integration strategies for GIS frameworks and models that range from loosely coupled to fully integrated systems. As discussed in Table 1, loose coupling, where a distinct GIS and model share information using file exchange, may be prone to data inconsistency, information loss and redundancy, leading to increased model setup time. In the other extreme embedded coupling, where the model itself is developed in the GIS framework leads to a large and complex source code structure, leaves the code inertial to change results it in being closed and isolated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coupling Level</th>
<th>Characteristics ↓</th>
<th>Loose</th>
<th>Tight Integration</th>
<th>Embedding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shared User Interface</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shared data and method base</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intra-simulation Model Modification</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intra-simulation Query and Control</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this study we follow a tight integration methodology based on the classification listed in Table 1. Tight coupling has the advantage of (1) preserving the advantage of independent development of various tool boxes as is the case for loose coupling and (2) the shared memory access to GIS data and model data linked through a carefully designed object
oriented programming strategy for both the GIS and hydrologic model mimics many of the advantages of an embedded coupling system. As listed in Table 1, one of the major prerequisites for tight coupling between GIS and a hydrologic model is to have a shared data and model structure base. Developing a shared data model for a GIS and a hydrologic model requires a careful consideration of both software systems and identification of connection points between them.

2.2 GIS Framework

The architecture of the GIS data model determines the ease of coupling a GIS with a hydrologic model. Generally a data model for a GIS includes constructs for spatial data, topological data and attribute data [Nyerges, 1987]. Data structures and associated descriptive constructs used in the data-management subsystem of GIS can lead to efficient data storage, editing and retrieval, and definition of new customized feature object representations within a GIS and integrated hydrologic model. This implies that a data model with its data, rules and relationships base can be a suitable basis for supporting GIS applications as well as hydrologic modeling. The data structure of the integrated hydrologic model will be then determined by the type and properties of the data models used in GIS. One of the pre-requisites for a tightly coupled integration based on a shared data model will be an open source access to the GIS architecture. Open source access to a GIS’s architecture facilitates the development and use of GIS classes and methods while also providing the interface and linkages necessary for tight coupling. In this study, Quantum GIS (QGIS) is used as the base GIS system which is tightly coupled with PIHM. QGIS is open-source GIS and has been developed in C++, C and Qt (http://trolltech.com/) which makes it attractive as a base framework to develop a model interface.

2.3 Hydrologic Modeling Framework

The hydrologic processes incorporated in the model require data coverage sets of physical properties and system states at time $t$ to predict system states the results at $t + \Delta t$. $\Delta t$ is adaptively determined depending on the time scales of the interacting processes at each time $t$. In this study, we present PIHM [Qu and Duffy, 2007] tightly coupled with QGIS. PIHM is a physically-based, distributed hydrologic model that uses a finite volume formulation for the governing physical equations and constitutive relationships interacting on and across the unit elements of the decomposed domain. The governing physical equations generally represented by partial differential equations (PDEs) are discretized in space using the “method of lines” [Madsen, 1975] approach to reduce them to ODEs. Figure 1 shows a typical kernel defined on a triangular and linear element (corresponding to rivers only) along with the interacting processes. The kernel is designed to capture dynamics of multiple processes while maintaining the conservation of mass at all cells, as guaranteed by the finite volume formulation [Leveque, 2002].

The PIHMgis framework developed in this study supports the organization, development and assimilation of the extremely large set of spatial and temporal data for each model cell and its neighbors. With the shared data model, relationships and schemas between GIS and hydrologic model, tight coupling leads to an integrated system where GIS is simply another option to generate addition state and output variables in the model and to provide additional...
management, analysis and visualization options while the hydrologic model becomes one of the analytical functions of the GIS.

3. PIHMgis INTERFACE
PIHMgis is an integrated and extensible GIS system with data management, data analysis, data modelling, unstructured mesh generation and distributed PIHM modelling capabilities. The underlying philosophy of this integrated system is a shared geo-data model between GIS and PIHM thus making it possible to handle the complexity of the different data models, representation structures and model simulations. PIHMgis has been developed using basic QGIS source code [http://download.qgis.org/qgis/src/]. The Graphical User Interface (GUI) component have been designed in Qt [http://trolltech.com/products/qt], which is a standard framework for high-performance, cross-platform graphical widget toolkit development while the algorithms for several modules and the hydrologic model PIHM have been implemented in C and C++.

PIHMgis interface is procedural and interactive. Figure 2 shows a snapshot of PIHMgis interface. More snapshots are available at http://www.pihm.psu.edu/ >> PIHMgis >> Documents >> Users Guide. “Help” guides the user in selecting control parameters, the underlying algorithm through each PIHMgis module. Modularity is achieved via the plugin architecture which provides a mechanism for third parties to extend the QGIS core application.

Procedural framework of PIHMgis has been categorized into six processing stages. Raster Processing modules facilitate stream definition and watershed delineation. The Vector Processing aids users in defining watershed properties using nodes, polygons and polylines which eventually serve as domain constraints. The domain constraints are used to generate constrained Delaunay triangulations with certain mesh quality criteria. Before solving the finite volume based system of ODEs using RunPIHM module, the model parameters associated with soil, land cover as well as forcing and boundary conditions are assigned to each triangular and stream element in automated fashion in Data Model Loader modules. Finally, statistical and other kind of spatial and temporal data analysis and visualization can be performed to the model output using Analysis modules.

4. PIHMgis APPLICATION: CASE STUDY
PIHMgis takes advantage of the fact that mostly the geohydrologic datasets are stored and distributed in the form of geodatabase of GIS feature. PIHMgis facilitates easy and accurate data development leading to easy model setup, model run, analysis and visualization. To demonstrate the procedural framework a case study application of PIHMgis to Shavers Creek Watershed located in Susquehanna River Basin is discussing in the following subsections.

4.1 Raster Processing
4.2 Vector Processing

Geohydrologic features such as soil map, land cover and other physiographic coverages can be used as constraining layers for the purpose of decomposition of modelling domain in addition to features generated using Raster Processing modulated by the modelling purpose. However, Stream polylines and catchment polygon obtained using Raster Processing retains signature of the grid used varied in accordance with DEM resolution. Vector Processing modules address issues specifically pertaining to modelling exercise as it allows development of a GIS layer which contains all the information of preferentially simplified constraining layers enabling efficient and quality domain decomposition.

Features of the type polygon or polyline contain fluctuations or extraneous bends. Preferential simplification is a crucial module, part of Vector processing which simplifies the feature by eliminating nodes responsible for those fluctuations and still preserving the essential shape of the feature using simplification algorithm [Douglas and Peucker, 1973] as shown in figure 4.

4.3 Domain Decomposition

PIHM uses vertical projection of triangular irregular mesh to form localized control volume which facilitates better representation of terrain [Kumar, 2008]. TRIANGLE [Shewchuk, 1996] has been integrated to decompose the domain into quality constrained, conformed Delaunay triangulations. TRIANGLE uses Ruppert [1995] and Chew [1993] algorithms for triangulation to generate non-skinny triangles and enforces the user selected quality constraint to the constraining layer prepared after Vector Processing modules.

In this study only external boundary of the watershed is considered. A simplification tolerance of 200 meters was applied to both the watershed and stream feature. The decomposed domain for the modelling domain has been shown in figure 2, where 23 degree minimum angle quality constraint was used.

4.4 Data Model Loader
The shared geo data model contains all the topological and relational information needed to represent the modelling domain as well as the geohydrologic data needed for model parameterization. Data Model Loader modules enriches the geodatabase defined by the classes and relationship of the shared geo data base. Several algorithms have been incorporated in Data Model Loader modules to facilitate topology assignment and model parameterization related to each triangular element and river segment.

An element is defined by the collection of three nodes in relation to the decomposed domain. Each element is assigned with a representative parameter value corresponding to each geohydrologic data layer along with nodes and neighbour information as shows in Figure 5A. Where as, a river segment is identified as one of the edge of an element, therefore defined by two nodes. Topology for channel segments is defined by From Node, To Node, Downstream segment, Left triangular element, Right triangular element [Figure 5B].

4.5 Run PIHM

RunPIHM module embraces the PIHM and facilitates its execution right from the GIS framework. PIHM uses semi-discrete finite volume approach to reduce the governing PDEs into ODEs. The local system of ODEs defined on the each unit element and linear stream segments are assembled over the entire modelling domain forming a global system of ODEs. A state-of-art stiff-ODE solver SUNDIALS [http://www.llnl.gov/CASC/sundials/] is used to solve the global system of ODEs. RunPIHM module directly interacts with the geodatabase previously enriched by the Data Model Loader modules to retrieve all the topligic and geohydrologic model parameters. As simulation progress all the spatio-temporal model simulated data feeds back the geodatabase in the Network Common Data Form (NetCDF) format.

4.6 Analysis

PIHMgis modules discussed in section 4.1 to 4.5 provides easy data development and efficient model setup and model execution. PIHMgis also provides modules to meet specific need for analysis and visualization of model simulated data in addition to basic GIS functionalities of QGIS. RunPIHM provides several optional parameters for the purpose of model calibration. However in this paper no calibration has been performed as part of model simulation. Time Series module allows visualization of time series of model simulated parameter [described in Figure 1]. Figure 6 shows a time series plot of saturation averaged over the whole domain. Spatial Plot module allows creation of spatial maps as time series doesn’t provide any information regarding spatial distribution of any simulated parameter. Figure 7 shows the spatial distribution of the one year mean saturation. The motivation behind analysis of simulated results may vary widely depending
on modelling interest. The extensible and pluggable architecture of PIHMgis allows easy addition of customized analysis and visualization modules.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Isolated hydrologic model and pre-processing (input data preparation) and post-processing (analysis and visualization) leads to increased model setup time and is error prone because of broken data flow. PIHMgis uses a tightly-coupled GIS framework which is based on shared-geo-data model to bridge hydrologic model and geohydrologic data (GIS framework). It facilitates strategy for integration of modelling, analysis and visualization of complex multidimensional geohydrologic data.

Open source development of PIHMgis provides transparency, free access, modification to the source code. PIHMgis source code documentation is available at http://www.pihm.psu.edu/pihmgis_documents.html. The tight coupling strategy leaves the frameworks extensible and allows independent development. Moreover, the procedural framework of PIHMgis provides ease of use and preserves independence of each module at the same time.
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